## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DARRYL A. ROBINSON,

	Plaintiff,		Case No. 1:08-cv-353
v.			Honorable Robert J. Jonker
(UNKNOWN) MAV	WER et al.,		
	Defendants.	/	

## OPINION DENYING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS - THREE STRIKES

Plaintiff Darryl A. Robinson, a prisoner incarcerated at Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility, filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Because Plaintiff has filed at least three lawsuits which were dismissed as frivolous, he is barred from proceeding *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The Court will order Plaintiff to pay the \$350.00 civil action filing fee within thirty days of this opinion and accompanying order, and if Plaintiff fails to do so, the Court will order that his action be dismissed without prejudice. Even if the case is dismissed, Plaintiff will be responsible for payment of the \$350.00 filing fee in accordance with *In re Alea*, 286 F.3d 378, 380-81 (6th Cir. 2002).

## Discussion

The Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321 (1996), which was enacted on April 26, 1996, amended the procedural rules governing a prisoner's request for the privilege of proceeding *in forma pauperis*. As the Sixth Circuit has stated, the PLRA

was "aimed at the skyrocketing numbers of claims filed by prisoners—many of which are meritless—and the corresponding burden those filings have placed on the federal courts." *Hampton v. Hobbs*, 106 F.3d 1281, 1286 (6th Cir. 1997). For that reason, Congress put into place economic incentives to prompt a prisoner to "stop and think" before filing a complaint. *Id.* For example, a prisoner is liable for the civil action filing fee, and if the prisoner qualifies to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the prisoner may pay the fee through partial payments as outlined in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). The constitutionality of the fee requirements of the PLRA has been upheld by the Sixth Circuit. *Id.* at 1288.

In addition, another provision reinforces the "stop and think" aspect of the PLRA by preventing a prisoner from proceeding *in forma pauperis* when the prisoner repeatedly files meritless lawsuits. Known as the "three-strikes" rule, the provision states:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under [the section governing proceedings in forma pauperis] if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The statutory restriction "[i]n no event," found in § 1915(g), is express and unequivocal. The statute does allow an exception for a prisoner who is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury." The Sixth Circuit has upheld the constitutionality of the "three-strikes" rule against arguments that it violates equal protection, the right of access to the courts, and due process, and that it constitutes a bill of attainder and is *ex post facto* legislation. *Wilson v. Yaklich*, 148 F.3d 596, 604-06 (6th Cir. 1998); *accord Rodriguez v. Cook*, 169 F.3d 1176, 1178-82 (9th Cir. 1999);

Rivera v. Allin, 144 F.3d 719, 723-26 (11th Cir. 1998); Carson v. Johnson, 112 F.3d 818, 821-22 (5th Cir. 1997).

Plaintiff has been an active litigant in the federal courts in Michigan by filing over thirty civil actions in this Court. In more than three of Plaintiff's lawsuits, the Court has entered dismissals on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim. *See Robinson v. Lesatz et al.*, No. 2:05-cv-217 (W.D. Mich. Nov. 7, 2005); *Robinson v. Luoma*, No. 2:05-cv-218 (W.D. Mich. Nov. 7, 2005); *Robinson v. Kutchie et al.*, No. 2:05-cv-211 (W.D. Mich. Oct. 28, 2005); *Robinson v. Snow et al.*, No. 2:05-cv-212 (W.D. Mich. Oct. 28, 2005); *Robinson v. Etelamaki et al.*, No. 2:05-cv-200 (W.D. Mich. Oct. 4, 2005); *Robinson v. Caruso et al.*, No. 2:05-cv-191 (W.D. Mich. Sept. 21, 2005); *Robinson v. Meni et al.*, No. 2:05-cv-192 (W.D. Mich. Sept. 19, 2005); and *Robinson v. Etelamaki*, No. 2:05-cv-194 (W.D. Mich. Sept. 19, 2005).

Plaintiff alleges that he is in imminent danger because prison guards assaulted him on April 8, 2008 by breaking his right hand. (Compl. at 3, docket #1.) He further states that he cannot defend himself from being assaulted. (*Id.*) Plaintiff's present allegations do not fall within the exception to the three-strikes rule because he does not assert any facts establishing that he is under an imminent danger of serious physical injury. Plaintiff's April 8 claim concerns a past event, rather than "imminent" physical danger. Congress did not define "imminent danger" in the PLRA, but it is significant that Congress chose to use the word "imminent," a word that conveys the idea of immediacy. "Imminent" is "[n]ear at hand... impending; on the point of happening; threatening, menacing, perilous. Something which is threatening to happen at once, something close at hand, something to happen upon the instant . . . and on the point of happening." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY, 514-15 (6th ed. 1991). "Imminent" is also defined as "ready to take place, near at

hand, impending, hanging threateningly over one's head, menacingly near." WEBSTER'S THIRD NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY, 1130 (1976). "Imminent danger" is "such an appearance of threatened and impending injury as would put a reasonable and prudent man to his instant defense." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY, 515 (6th ed. 1991). Allegations of a past danger is insufficient to permit a prisoner to proceed *in forma pauperis* under the exception to the "three strikes rule." *Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie*, 239 F.3d 307, 310-11 (3d Cir. 2001); *Medberry v. Butler*, 185 F.3d 1189, 1193 (11th Cir. 1999); *Ashley v. Dilworth*, 147 F.3d 715, 717 (8th Cir. 1998); *Luedtke v. Bertrand*, 32 F. Supp. 2d 1074, 1077 (E.D.Wis. 1999). *See also Wallace v. Amin*, 69 F. App'x 667, 669 (6th Cir. 2003) (stating plaintiff's complaint did not meet the 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) exception because he did not allege any facts to establish he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury when he filed the complaint); *Clark v. Naples*, No. 1:07-cv-557, 2007 WL 1875645, at \*2 (W.D. Mich. June 26, 2007). Therefore, the April 8 assault on Plaintiff does not satisfy the "imminent" component of the "three strikes" standard.

Allegations of a danger that is speculative in nature, such as Plaintiff's assertion that he may be assaulted in the future, will not suffice as well. *Swenson v. Pramstaller*, 169 F. App'x 449, 450-51 (6th Cir. 2006) (allegations that a prisoner sleepwalks and may fall off the top bunk, thrash about his cell and injure himself or others, or upset the prison population as a whole, resulting in retaliation against him, did not constitute imminent danger of serious physical injury.) Accordingly, Plaintiff's assertion regarding future harm does not fall within the exception to the three-strikes rule.

In light of the foregoing, § 1915(g) prohibits Plaintiff from proceeding *in forma* pauperis in this action. Plaintiff has thirty days from the date of entry of this order to pay the entire

civil action filing fee, which is \$350.00. When Plaintiff pays his filing fee, the Court will screen his complaint as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c). If Plaintiff fails to pay the filing fee within the thirty-day period, his case will be dismissed without prejudice, but he will continue to be responsible for payment of the \$350.00 filing fee.

Dated: May 2, 2008 /s/ Robert J. Jonker

ROBERT J. JONKER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **SEND REMITTANCES TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:**

Clerk, U.S. District Court 399 Federal Building 110 Michigan Street, NW Grand Rapids, MI 49503

All checks or other forms of payment shall be payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court."